**Appendix D: Final Performance Management Framework**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Title | Promoting Sustainable Livelihood Development through Supporting Food Security and Economic Growth Initiatives | No. | S-065398 | Organization | World Renew |
| Country/Region/ Institution | Bangladesh (SATHI and PARI  Honduras (MCM, Diaconia Nacional, Alfalit, and Harvest)  Mali (AEDM, ODES and World Renew)  Mozambique (IRM-RDD and Diocese of Niassa)  Tanzania (AICT Geita and AICT MUD) | Budget | $7,093,218  GAC: $$5,319,912 (plus $6,828 cumulative interest)  World Renew: $1,773,306 | Duration | October 2, 2012 –  September 30, 2017 |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Expected Results** | **Indicators** | **Baseline Data** | **Target** | **Final Result** | **Notes and Explanation** |
| **Ultimate Outcome *(Long term)***  Livelihood security for vulnerable households in identified communities within Bangladesh, Honduras, Mali, Mozambique and Tanzania | 1. Income level from increased production and economic growth activities | **Bangladesh:** 60,676 Taka  M: 61,195 Taka  F: 60,358 Taka | **Bangladesh:**  30% increase | **Bangladesh**:  **Percent of respondents with increased income:** 100%  (M: 99%, F: 100%)  **Percent of respondents who experienced a significant increase:** 95%  (M: 92%, F: 97%) | During the final evaluation, program participants were asked whether they experienced an increase in income as a result of participating in the program and whether that increase was significant to them (this approach was followed because the participant’s perception of whether the increase was significant influenced outcomes to a greater extent than whether the increase was or was not greater than 30%). Respondents were also asked to identify the various income sources they and their family now have as a result of being program participants. Responses included farming (both rain-fed and irrigation-based agriculture), selling of livestock including cattle and chicken, fishing or fish ponds, selling other animal products including eggs, meat and honey, value-added processing, including milling cereals into flour and selling baked good, working as a day labourer, other business activities such as tailoring and carpentry, and remittances. |
| **Honduras:** 34,135 Lempira  M:  30,449 Lempira  F: 35,164 Lempira | **Honduras:**  30% increase | **Honduras:**  **Percent of respondents with increased income:** 76%  (M: 79%, F: 74%)  **Percent of respondents who experienced a significant increase:** 90%  (M: 91%, F: 88%) |
| **Mali:**  No income data for Mali | **Mali:**  No target | **Mali:**  **Percent of respondents with increased income:** 97%  (M: 94%, F: 99%)  **Percent of respondents who experienced a significant increase:** 97%  (M: 98%, F: 96%) |
| **Mozambique:** 6,977 Meticais  M: 7,334 Meticais  F: 6,495 Meticais | **Mozambique:**  30% increase | **Mozambique:**  **Percent of respondents with increased income:** 83%  (M: 78%, F: 89%)  **Percent of respondents who experienced a significant increase:** 96%  (M: 94%, F: 97%) |
| **Tanzania:** 1,080,370 Shillings  M: 1,361,041 Shillings  F: 841,668 Shillings | **Tanzania:**  30% increase | **Tanzania:**  **Percent of respondents with increased income:** 76%  (M: 78%, F: 74%)  **Percent of respondents who experienced a significant increase:** 59%  (M: 59%, F: 59%) |
| 2. Change in productive and non-productive assets | **TOTAL:**  **PRODUCTIVE ASSETS**  **House:** All 56%, M 64%, F 46%  **Farm Assets:** All 29% M 38% F 27%  **Mobile Phone:** All 19.5%, M 21%, F 19%  **Small Business Tools:** All 8%, M 8%, F 12%  **Donkey/Oxen Cart:** All 7%, M 10%, F 3%  **NON-PRODUCTIVE ASSETS**  **Mobile Phone (personal use):** All 42%, M 52%, F 35%  **Radio:** All 39%, M 49%, F 34%  **Bicycle:** All 33%, M 27%, F 42%  **Mobile Phone (Other use):** All 27%, M 24%, F 28%  **TV:** All 27%, M 20%, F 27% | Increase in household assets compared to baseline | **Total:**  **House:** 94%  (M: 93%, F: 95%)  **Farm Tools/Equipment:** 85%  (M: 86%, F: 84%)  **Mobile Phone:** 80%  (M: 80%, F: 79%)  **Bicycle:** 88%  (M: 89%, F: 86%) | Note: No final result is available for house ownership in Bangladesh. A translation error resulted in project participants reporting levels of house ownership that are believed to be substantially higher than true levels of house ownership in the areas where the Bangladesh project operated. |
| **BANGLADESH:**  **PRODUCTIVE ASSETS**  **House** All: 49%, M: 69%, F: 37%  **Farm Equipment** All: 5%, M: 9%, F: 2%  **Sewing Machine** All: 4%, M: 2%, F: 5%  **Truck/car/motorcycle** All 3%, M 4%, F 2%  **Mechanic’s Tools** All: 3%, M: 5%, F: 1.5%  **NON-PRODUCTIVE ASSETS**  **TV** All: 51%, M: 41%, F: 57%  **Mobile (personal)** All: 43%, M: 62%, F: 31%  **Mobile (other use)** All 40%, M 31%, F 46%  **Bicycle** All: 15%, M: 21%, F: 11% | **Bangladesh:**  **Farm Tools/Equipment:** 100%  (M: 100%, F: 100%)  **Mobile Phone:** 100%  (M: 99%, F: 100%)  **Bicycle:** 99%  (M: 100%, F: 99%) |
| **HONDURAS:**  **PRODUCTIVE ASSETS**  **House** All: 86%, M: 94%, F: 83%  **Farm Equipment** All: 36%, M: 62%, F: 29%  **Mobile Phone** All: 36%, M: 62%, F: 29%  **Carpentry/ Masonry Tools** All: 19%, M: 24%, F: 17%  **Sewing Machine** All: 6%, M: 4%, F: 7%  **NON-PRODUCTIVE ASSETS**  **Mobile (personal)** All: 67%, M: 78%, F: 64%  **TV** All: 57%, M: 45%,F: 60%  **Radio** All: 65%, M: 85%, F: 59%  **Hand Cart** All: 34%, M: 33%, F: 34%  **Bicycle** All: 29%, M: 42%, F: 25% | **Honduras:**  **House:** 98%  (M: 97%, F: 98%)  **Farm Tools/Equipment:** 93%  (M: 93%, F: 93%)  **Mobile Phone:** 91%  (M: 92%, F: 90%)  **Bicycle:** 93%  (M: 95%, F: 92%) |
| **MALI:**  **PRODUCTIVE ASSETS**  **House** All: 50%, M: 58%, F: 13%  **Farm Equipment** All: 33%, M: 38%, F: 13%  **Mobile Phone** All: 28%, M: 30%, F: 22%  **Donkey/Oxen Cart** All: 28%, M: 32%, F: 9%  **Sm. Business Tools** All 14%, M 11%, F 31%  **NON-PRODUCTIVE ASSETS**  **Mobile (personal)** All: 49%, M: 52% ,F: 34%  **Mobile (shared)** All: 40%, M: 41%, F: 39%  **Radio** All: 31%, M: 35%, F: 11%  **Bicycle** All: 30%, M: 34%, F: 8%  **Motorcycle** All: 20%, M: 22%, F: 13% | **Mali:**  **House:** 98%  (M: 99%, F: 98%)  **Farm Tools/Equipment:** 79%  (M: 81%, F: 78%)  **Mobile Phone:** 57%  (M: 66%, F: 47%)  **Bicycle:** 82%  (M: 85%, F: 78%) |
| **MOZAMBIQUE:**  **PRODUCTIVE ASSETS**  **House** All: 38%, M: 41%, F: 35%  **Farm Equipment** All: 24%, M: 25%, F: 24%  **Sm. Business Tools** All 10%, M 10%, F 11%  **Mobile Phone** All: 4%, M: 5%, F: 3%  **NON-PRODUCTIVE ASSETS**  **Radio** All: 64%, M: 68%, F: 58%  **Bicycle** All: 51%, M: 59%, F: 40%  **Motorcycle** All: 15%, M: 17%, F: 12%  **Mobile (personal)** All: 15%, M: 17%, F: 12% | **Mozambique:**  **House:** 77%  (M: 71%, F: 83%)  **Farm Tools/Equipment:** 64%  (M: 68%, F: 60%)  **Mobile Phone:** 55%  (M: 46%, F: 68%)  **Bicycle:** 78%  (M: 79%, F: 73%) |
| **TANZANIA:**  **PRODUCTIVE ASSETS**  **House** All: 61%, M: 60%, F: 63%  **Farm Equipment** All: 61%, M: 57%, F: 65%  **Mobile Phone** All: 31%, M: 29%, F: 32%  **Sm. Business Tools** All 16%, M 15%, F 16%  **Sewing Machine** All: 13%, M: 13%, F: 13%  **NON-PRODUCTIVE ASSETS**  **Bicycle** All: 52%, M: 56%, F: 50%  **Radio:** All: 46%, M: 54%, F: 40%  **Mobile (personal)** All: 41%, M: 50%, F: 33%  **Mobile (shared)** All: 19%,M: 21%, F: 18% | **Tanzania:**  **House:** 90%  (M: 89%, F: 90%)  **Farm Tools/Equipment:** 90%  (M: 89%, F: 90%)  **Mobile Phone:** 82%  (M: 86%, F: 78%)  **Bicycle:** 90%  (M: 92%, F: 88%) |
| 3) Food security score constructed through a triangulated assessment of  i) food frequency;  ii) coping strategies;  ii) dietary diversity score; and  iv) seasonal food security score | **Bangladesh:**  ***i) food frequency***  All: 4.15, M: 4.46, F: 3.97  ***ii) coping strategies;***  All: 1.43, M: 1.56, F: 1.35  ***iii) dietary diversity score;***  All: 4.87, M: 5.01, F: 4.78  ***iv) seasonal food security score***  All: 2.45, M: 2.27, F: 2.56 | **Bangladesh:**  i) food frequency: 4.5  ii) coping strategies: 1.2  iii) dietary diversity score: 5.5  iv) seasonal food security score: 2.0 | **Bangladesh:**  ***i) food frequency***  All: 5.63, M: 5.52, F: 5.71  ***ii) coping strategies;***  All: 0.11, M: 0.15, F: 0.07  ***iii) dietary diversity score;***  All: 9.34, M: 9.21, F: 9.44  ***iv) seasonal food security score***  All: 0.40, M: 0.39, F: 0.40 |  |
| **Honduras:**  ***i) food frequency***  All: 3.44, M: 3.45, F: 3.44  ***ii) coping strategies;***  All: 2.82, M: 3.06, F: 2.76  ***iii) dietary diversity score;***  All: 7.19, M: 7.03, F: 7.23  ***iv) seasonal food security score***  All: 8.68, M: 9.20, F: 8.53 | **Honduras:**  i) food frequency: 4.0  ii) coping strategies: 2.5  iii) dietary diversity score: 7.5  iv) seasonal food security score: 6.0 | **Honduras:**  ***i) food frequency***  All: 5.01, M: 5.03, F: 5.00  ***ii) coping strategies;***  All: 1.41, M: 1.29, F: 1.50  ***iii) dietary diversity score;***  All: 8.51, M: 8.54, F: 8.5  ***iv) seasonal food security score***  All: 1.16, M: 1.04, F: 1.25 |  |
| **Mali:**  ***i) food frequency***  All: 3.43, M: 3.44, F: 3.36  ***ii) coping strategies;***  All: 4.20, M: 4.09, F: 4.8  ***iii) dietary diversity score;***  All: 4.92, M: 5.08, F: 4.20  ***iv) seasonal food security score***  All: 6.12, M: 5.81, F: 7.62 | **Mali:**  i) food frequency: 4.0  ii) coping strategies: 4.0  iii) dietary diversity score: 5.5  iv) seasonal food security score: 5.0 | **Mali:**  ***i) food frequency***  All: 4.89, M: 4.89, F: 4.90  ***ii) coping strategies;***  All: 1.64, M: 1.53, F: 1.73  ***iii) dietary diversity score;***  All: 6.17, M: 5.99, F: 6.32  ***iv) seasonal food security score***  All: 2.39, M: 2.75, F: 2.11 |  |
| **Mozambique:**  ***i) food frequency***  All: 3.63, M: 3.73, F: 3.51  ***ii) coping strategies;***  All: 1.67, M: 1.56, F: 1.82  ***iii) dietary diversity score;***  All: 5.41, M: 5.64, F: 5.10  ***iv) seasonal food security score***  All: 2.29, M: 2.25, F: 2.35 | **Mozambique:**  i) food frequency: 4.0  ii) coping strategies: 1.5  iii) dietary diversity score: 6.0  iv) seasonal food security score: 2.0 | **Mozambique:**  ***i) food frequency***  All: 4.68, M: 4.83, F: 4.51  ***ii) coping strategies;***  All: 1.80, M: 1.95, F: 1.65  ***iii) dietary diversity score;***  All: 7.42, M: 7.60, F: 7.23  ***iv) seasonal food security score***  All: 1.78, M: 2.22, F: 1.30 |  |
| **Tanzania:**  ***i) food frequency***  All: 2.57, M: 2.58, F: 2.56  ***ii) coping strategies;***  All: 2.64, M: 2.66, F: 2.63  ***iii) dietary diversity score;***  All: 6.25, M: 6.55, F: 6.00  ***iv) seasonal food security score***  All: 4.40, M: 4.78, F: 4.07 | **Tanzania:**  i) food frequency: 3.0  ii) coping strategies: 2.2  iii) dietary diversity score: 7.0  iv) seasonal food security score: 3.5 | **Tanzania:**  ***i) food frequency***  All: 2.85, M: 2.83, F: 2.87  ***ii) coping strategies;***  All: 2.84, M: 2.65, F: 2.99  ***iii) dietary diversity score;***  All: 5.36, M: 5.50, F: 5.24  ***iv) seasonal food security score***  All: 4.56, M: 4.27, F: 4.79 |  |
| **Intermediate Outcomes *(Medium term)***  **100** Increased application of sustainable agriculture methods by small-scale farmers, especially women | 1. Percentage of participant farmers (m/f) that are practicing sustainable agriculture methods | **TOTAL:** 46%  M: 48%  F: 43% | **Total:** 74% (8643 ppts)  M: 75%  F: 74% | **Total:** 98%  M: 98%  F: 98% |  |
| **Bangladesh:** 58%  M: 60%  F: 55% | **Bangladesh:** 96% (1950)  M: 97% (1100) F: 95% (850) | **Bangladesh:** 100%  M: 100%  F: 100% | Top methods practiced:  Compost, Kitchen Gardens, Bio-pesticides |
| **Honduras:** 32%  M: 43%  F:  29% | **Honduras:** 58% (847)  M: 57% (529)  F:  59% (318) | **Honduras:** 98%  M: 99%  F: 98% | Top methods practiced:  Family Gardens,  Crop Rotation, Minimum Tillage |
| **Mali:** 54%  M: 48%  F: 71% | **Mali:** 89% (1730)  M: 91% (1225)  F: 87% (651) | **Mali:** 98%  M: 97%  F: 99% | Top methods practiced:  Compost, Crop Rotation, Minimum Tillage |
| **Mozambique:** 31%  M: 33%  F: 28% | **Mozambique:** 59% (2016)  M: 59% (1073)  F: 60% (943) | **Mozambique:** 99%  M: 99%  F: 99% | Top methods practiced:  Keeping the Soil Covered, Minimum Tillage, Proper Spacing of Seeds |
| **Tanzania:** 64%,  M: 66%  F: 63% | **Tanzania:** 75%(2100)  M: 75% (840)  F: 75%(1260) | **Tanzania:** 96%  M: 98%  F: 94% | Top methods practiced:  Manure, Minimum Tillage, Proper Spacing of Seeds |
| 2. Percentage of participant farmers (m/f) who say that their crop yields (productivity) have increased as a result of using sustainable farming methods | **Total:**  All: 72% | **Total:**  80% | **Total:** 90%  M: 92%  F: 88% |  |
| **Bangladesh:**  All: 24%, M: 37%, F: 13% | **Bangladesh:**  30% | **Bangladesh:** 87%  M: 88%  F: 87% |  |
| **Honduras:**  All: 32%, M: 47%, F: 28% | **Honduras:**  53% | **Honduras:** 79%  M: 85%  F: 74% |  |
| **Mali:**  All: 64%, M: 63%, F: 67% | **Mali:**  82% | **Mali:** 99%  M: 99%  F: 99% |  |
| **Mozambique:**  All: 39%, M: 41%, F: 36% | **Mozambique:**  49% | **Mozambique:** 92%  M: 90%  F: 94% |  |
| **Tanzania**:  All: 79%, M: 76%, F: 80% | **Tanzania:**  80% | **Tanzania:** 88%  M: 91%  F: 86% |  |
| **200** Increased engagement of household members, especially women, in profitable small scale enterprises | 1. Percentage of participants (m/f) that are doing small scale enterprises or income generating activities (IGA) | **Total:**  All: 53% | **Total:**  All: 68% (13,217 ppts) | **Total:** 85%  M: 85%  F: 86% | Includes farm and non-farm income earning activities |
| **Bangladesh:** 31%  M: 32%  F: 31% | **Bangladesh:** 45% (2418)  M:47% (777)  F: 46% (1641) | **Bangladesh:** 87%  M: 87%  F: 87% |  |
| **Honduras:** 55%  M: 70%  F: 50% | **Honduras:** 65% (2184)  M: 67% (1055)  F: 63% (1129) | **Honduras:** 86%  M: 88%  F: 83% |  |
| **Mali:** 69%  M: 70%  F: 65% | **Mali:** 45% (1000)  M: 27% (320)  F: 68% (680) | **Mali:** 88%  M: 88%  F: 88% |  |
| **Mozambique:** 65%  M: 67%  F: 61% | **Mozambique:** 71% (3356)  M: 72% (1668)  F: 70% (1688) | **Mozambique:** 77%  M: 83%  F: 73% |  |
| **Tanzania:** 68%  M: 70%  F: 67% | **Tanzania:** 87% (4259)  M: 83% (1647)  F: 89% (2612) | **Tanzania:** 81%  M: 77%  F: 84% |  |
| 2. Percentage of participants (m/f) who say that their earnings have increased as a result of what they have learned and/or support they have received from this program. | NA – Only makes sense to measure after the program is implemented | **Total:** 66% (15,726 ppts) | **Total:** 85%  M: 85%  F: 85% |  |
| **Bangladesh:** 88% (5228)  M: 92% (1654)  F: 86% (3574) | **Bangladesh:** 95%  M: 93%  F: 97% |  |
| **Honduras:** 51% (1703)  M: 50% (785)  F: 51% (918) | **Honduras:** 90%  M: 91%  F: 88% |  |
| **Mali:** 45% (1000)  M: 45% (540)  F: 46% (460) | **Mali:** 97%  M: 98%  F: 96% |  |
| **Mozambique:** 42% (1973)  M: 41% (945)  F: 43% (1028) | **Mozambique:** 96%  M: 94%  F: 97% |  |
| **Tanzania:** 75% (5822)  M: 75% (2327)  F: 76% (3493) | **Tanzania:** 59%  M: 59%  F: 59% |  |
| **300** Improved governance among local community groups | 1. Percentage of targeted communities with a community action plan that was developed in a participatory manner as the result of the leadership of a community governance body or local community group | **Total:** 44%  Those who said “Yes, CAP was developed with community participation.”  121 out of 276 communities | **Total:** 62%  212 out of 341 communities | **Total:** 83%  288 out of 345 communities |  |
| **Bangladesh:** 57%  51 out of 90 communities | **Bangladesh:** 100%  56 out of 143 communities | **Bangladesh:** 100%  143 out of 143 communities |  |
| **Honduras:** 68%  39 out of 57 communities | **Honduras:** 100%  57 out of 57 communities | **Honduras:** 91%  52 out of 57 communities |  |
| **Mali:** 11%  6 out of 55 communities | **Mali:** 62%  34 out of 55 communities | **Mali:** 69%  66 out of 95 communities |  |
| **Mozambique:** 25%  15 out of 60 communities | **Mozambique:** 75%  45 out of 60 communities | **Mozambique:** 63%  15 out of 24 communities | There were fewer total communities because one of the two partners in Mozambique left the project. |
| **Tanzania:** 71%  10 out of 14 communities | **Tanzania:** 77%  20 out of 26 communities | **Tanzania:** 46%  12 out of 26 communities |  |
| 2. Percentage of participants (m/f) who say that women and marginalized groups in their community are able to influence the planning and management of community action planning. | **Total:**  **Women:** 45%  (M: 48%, F: 41%)  **Ethnic Minorities:** 30%  (M: 33%, F: 27%)  **Disabled:** 36%  (M: 41%, F: 30%) | **Total:**  Women: 60%  Ethnic Minorities: 33%  Disabled: 40% | **Total:**  **Women:** 71%  (M: 80%, F: 60%)  **Ethnic Minorities:** 31%  (M: 40%, F: 21%) | Inclusion of people with disabilities in community planning processes was not assessed during the final evaluation because it was not tracked consistently for all five countries during the course of program implementation. Final results for Indicator 300-2 are for inclusion of women and ethnic minorities in community planning only. |
| **Bangladesh:**  **Women**: 39%  (M: 53%, F: 30%)  **Ethnic Minority:** 39%  (M: 55%, F: 29%)  **Disabled:** 37%  (M: 52%, F: 28%) | **Bangladesh**  Women: 51%  Ethnic Minority: 40%  Disabled: 40% | **Bangladesh**  **Women:** 86%  (M: 100%, F: 75%)  **Ethnic Minority:** 35%  (M: 28%, F: 40%) |  |
| **Honduras:**  ***Women:*** 50%  (M: 61%, F: 47%) | **Honduras:**  Women: 66% | **Honduras:**  **Women:** 100%  (M: 100%, F: 100%)  **Ethnic Minority:** 32%  (M: 64%, F: 0%) |  |
| **Mali**  **Women:** 64%  (M: 62%, F: 75%)  **Ethnic Minorities**: 42%  (M: 36%, F: 71%)  **Disabled:** 54%  (M: 51%, F: 72%) | **Mali**  Women: 78%  Ethnic Minority: 66%  Disabled: 71% | **Mali**  **Women:** 23%  (M: 42%, F: 7%)  **Ethnic Minority:** 35%  (M: 28%, F: 40%) |  |
| **Mozambique**  ***Women***: 5%  (M: 6%, F: 4%)  ***Ethnic Minorities:***  11%  (M: 12%, F: 10%)  ***Disabled:*** 16%  (M: 17%, F: 14%) | **Mozambique:**  Women: 38%  Ethnic Minority: 30%  Disabled: 38% | **Mozambique:**  **Women:** 89%  (M: 67%, F: 100%) |  |
| **Tanzania:**  **Women:** 46%  (M: 46%, F: 45%)  **Ethnic Minorities**: 30%  (M: 31%, F:29%)  **Disabled**: 38%  (M: 46%, F: 32%) | **Tanzania**  Women: 76%  Ethnic minorities: 40%  Disabled: 40% | **Tanzania**  **Women:** 73%  (M: 79%, F: 58%)  **Ethnic Minorities:** 53%  (M: 65%, F: 19%) |  |
| 3. Percentage of participants (m/f) that say they are satisfied with the quality of community leadership | **Total:** 31%  (M: 24%, F: 36%) | **Total:** 66% | **Total:** 95%  (M: 96%, F: 94%) |  |
| **Bangladesh:** 47%  (M: 38%, F: 53%) | **Bangladesh:** 81% | **Bangladesh:** 97%  (M: 100%, F: 94%) |  |
| **Honduras:** 25%  (M: 21%, F: 26%) | **Honduras:** 49% | **Honduras:** 100%  (M: 100%, F: 100%) |  |
| **Mali:** 5%  (M: 5%, F: 4%) | **Mali:** 25% | **Mali:** 100%  (M: 100%, F: 100%) |  |
| **Mozambique:** 26%  (M: 23%, F: 31%) | **Mozambique:**  59% | **Mozambique**: 76%  (M: 68%, F: 80%) |  |
| **Tanzania:** 32%  (M: 36%, F: 29%) | **Tanzania:** 74% | **Tanzania:** 100%  (M: 100%, F: 100%) |  |
| **Immediate Outcomes *(Short term)***  **110** Increased knowledge of men and women farmers to implement sustainable agricultural methods | 1. Percentage of participant farmers (m/f) with increased knowledge of sustainable agricultural methods | No baseline | **Total:** 69% | **Total:** 78% |  |
| **Bangladesh:** 48% | **Bangladesh:** 100% |
| **Honduras:** 66% | **Honduras:** 89% |
| **Mali:** 63% | **Mali:** 98% |
| **Mozambique:** 90% | **Mozambique:** 75% |
| **Tanzania:** 75% | **Tanzania:** 22% |
| 2. Level of perception of the importance of sustainable agriculture methods among PFs (m/f) | **Total:** 48%  (M: 25%, F: 23%) | **Total:** 86% | **Total:** 100%  M: 100%  F: 100% |  |
| **Bangladesh:** 88%  (M: 92%, F: 84%) | **Bangladesh:** 90% | **Bangladesh:** 100%  M: 100%  F: 100% |  |
| **Honduras:** 37%  (M: 50%, F: 33%) | **Honduras:** 63% | **Honduras:** 100%  M: 100%  F: 100% |  |
| **Mali:** 73%  (M: 73%, F: 76%) | **Mali:** 80% | **Mali:** 100%  M: 100%  F: 100% |  |
| **Mozambique:** 34%  (M: 34%, F: 33%) | **Mozambique:** 62% | **Mozambique:** 99%  M: 99%  F: 99% |  |
| **Tanzania:** 87%  (M: 87%, F: 86%) | **Tanzania:** 87% | **Tanzania:** 99%  M: 100%  F: 99% |  |
| **120** Improved household access to quality seed and crop varieties (including those resistant to high moisture stress) | 1. Percentage of participant farmers (m/f) who accessed sufficient quantities of high quality/ improved seed during the last planting season | **Total:** 30%  (M: 30%, F: 28%) | **Total:** 50% (5045 ppts) | **Total:** 75%  M: 70%  F: 81% |  |
| **Honduras:** 14%  M: 11%  F: 15% | **Honduras:** 42% (456)  M: 46% (291)  F: 36% (165) | **Honduras:** 83%  M: 95%  F: 65% |  |
| **Mali:** 37%  M: 37%  F: 38% | **Mali:** 50% (400)  M: 50% (200)  F: 50% (200) | **Mali:** 79%  M: 71%  F: 92% |  |
| **Mozambique:** 26%  M: 26%  F: 26% | **Mozambique:** 37% (1258)  M: 37%(668)  F: 38% (590) | **Mozambique:** 66%  M: 63%  F: 70% |  |
| **Tanzania:** 52%  M: 55%  F: 49% | **Tanzania:** 64% (3327)  M: 70% (1574)  F: 60% (1753) | **Tanzania:** 69%  M: 40%  F: 88% |  |
| 2. Percentage of participant farmers (m/f) growing at least one disease resistant or drought tolerant crop since the program started | **Total:** 47%  M: 31%  F: 49% | **Total:** 60% (6365)  M: 63% (3456)  F: 57% (2909) | **Total:** 83%  M: 84%  F: 83% |  |
| **Honduras:** 20%  M: 19%  F: 21% | **Honduras:** 48% (599)  M: 46% (271)  F: 50% (328) | **Honduras:** 75%  M: 80%  F: 70% |  |
| **Mali:** 0%  M: 0%  F: 0% | **Mali:** 13% (100)  M: 14% (55)  F: 11% (45) | **Mali:** 92%  M: 91%  F: 94% |  |
| **Mozambique:** 38%  M: 38%  F: 40% | **Mozambique:** 61% (2085)  M: 62% (1127)  F: 62% (958) | **Mozambique:** 91%  M: 83%  F: 98% |  |
| **Tanzania:** 57%  M: 60%  F: 55% | **Tanzania:** 69% (3581)  M: 75% (2003)  F: 62% (1578) | **Tanzania:** 82%con  M: 84%  F: 80% |  |
| **130** Increased knowledge of participants (m/f) about animal husbandry practices | 1.Percentage of participants (m/f) practicing animal husbandry | **Total:** 64%  M: 37%  F: 53% | **Total:** 61% (4611)  M: 46% (2230)  F: 61% (2381) | **Total:** 83%  M: 87%  F: 80% |  |
| **Bangladesh:** 42%  M: 42.95%  F: 41.18%  Inter-fish: 1%  M: 2%  F: 0%  Ducks: 3%  M: 3%  F: 3% | **Bangladesh:** 23% (600)  M: 31% (400)  F: 15% (200)  Inter-fish: 8% (200)  M: 12.5% (160)  F: 3% (40)    Ducks: 16% (400)  M: 19% (240)  F: 12% (160) | **Bangladesh:** 100%  M: 100%  F: 100% |  |
| **Honduras:** 53%  M: 68%  F: 48% | **Honduras:** 64% (384)  M: 70% (294)  F: 50% (90) | **Honduras:** 81%  M: 88%  F: 75% |  |
| **Mali:** 88%  M: 87%  F: 100% | **Mali:** 91% (137)  M: 87% (87)  F: 100% (50) | **Mali:** 69%  M: 76%  F: 63% |  |
| **Tanzania:** 68%  M: 70%  F: 67% | **Tanzania:** 83% (3490)  M: 81% (1449)  F: 84% (2041) | **Tanzania:** 78%  M: 79%  F: 77% |  |
| 2. Percentage of participant farmers (m/f) who feel confident in their ability to breed and raise healthy livestock. | **Total:** 41%  M: 41%  F: 39% | **Total:** 49% (3359)  M: 48% (1550)  F: 49% (1809) | **Total:** 51%  M: 53%  F: 49% |  |
| **Bangladesh:** 19%  M: 21%  F: 17% | **Bangladesh:** 14% (360)  M: 18% (234)  F: 10% (126) | **Bangladesh:** 44%  M: 47%  F: 41% |  |
| **Honduras:** 79%  M: 81%  F: 79% | **Honduras:** 80% (480)  M: 80% (336)  F: 80% (144) | **Honduras:** 43%  M: 52%  F: 36% | Results appear low relative to baseline because the final survey percentages are based on percentage of overall program participants, whereas the baseline survey was based on a sample of farmer participants |
| **Mali:** 8%  M: 9%  F: 0% | **Mali:** 20% (30)  M: 20% (20)  F: 20% (10) | **Mali:** 80%  M: 79%  F: 80% |  |
| **Tanzania:** 57%  M: 55%  F: 59% | **Tanzania:** 69% (2489)  M: 67% (960)  F: 70% (1529) | **Tanzania:** 34%  M: 34%  F: 34% | Results appear low relative to baseline because the final survey percentages are based on percentage of overall program participants, whereas the baseline survey was based on a sample of farmer participants |
| **140 Increased** access to land and security of land tenure for small-scale farmers, especially women and other marginalized groups | 1. Area of arable land under cultivation by PFs (m/f), and other marginalized groups (average per ppt) | **Total:** 3.5 ha | **Total:** 4 ha | Not measured | We decided not to track this indicator anymore due to challenges that were inherent in monitoring this type of data. |
| **Mali:** 4.3 ha  (M: 4.4 ha, F: 2.6 ha) | **Mali:** 4.5 ha | Not measured |  |
| **Tanzania:** 3.9 ha  (M: 4.4 ha, F: 3.5 ha) | **Tanzania:** 3.5 ha | Not measured |  |
| 2. Percentage of participant farmers (m/f) who have security of land tenure (formal title deed or any other formal and informal certification) | **Mali:**  All: 80%  M: 79%  F: 100% | **Mali:**  All: 85% (128)  M: 83% (83)  F: 100% (50) | **Mali:**  All: 100%  M: 100%  F: 99% | A 30-year lease for 200 hectares of land for three villages was signed after two years of working through various obstacles. |
| **Mozambique:**  All: 2.8%  M: 1.4%  F: 4.7% | **Mozambique:**  All: 30% (778)  M: 30% (428)  F: 30% (350) | **Mozambique:**  All: 60%  M: 61%  F: 59% |  |
| **Tanzania:**  All: 21.1%  M: 18.3%  F: 23.4% | **Tanzania:**  All: 23% (810)  M: 25.6% (340)  F: 22.8% (470) | **Tanzania:**  All: 82%  M: 74%  F: 89% |  |
| **210** Increased knowledge among participants, especially women, about how to manage profitable small scale enterprises | 1.Percentage of participants doing an income generating activity (m/f) who have a business plan or marketing strategy for their small scale enterprises | **Total:** 31%  (M: 38%, F: 28%) | **Total:** 66%  (M: 67%, F: 65%) | **Total:** 70%  M: 72%  F: 68% |  |
| **Bangladesh:** 33%  (M: 69%, F: 20%) | **Bangladesh:** 58% | **Bangladesh:** 89%  M: 91%  F: 88% |  |
| **Honduras:** 15%  (M: 15%, F: 15%) | **Honduras:** 30% | **Honduras:** 68%  M: 74%  F: 64% |  |
| **Mali:** 0%  (M: 0%, F: 0%) | **Mali**: 47% | **Mali:** 71%  M: 71%  F: 71% |  |
| **Mozambique:** 43%  (M: 44%, F: 41%) | **Mozambique:** 62% | **Mozambique:** 84%  M: 87%  F: 82% |  |
| **Tanzania:** 65%  (M: 64%, F: 65%) | **Tanzania:** 83% | **Tanzania:** 56%  M: 57%  F: 55% |  |
| 2. Percentage of participants doing an income generating activity (m/f) who feel confident in their ability to manage their small business. | **Total:** 50%  (M: 51%, F: 53%) | **Total:** 80% | **Total:** 94%  M: 95%  F: 93% |  |
| **Bangladesh:** 2.6%  (M: <1%, F: 3.7%) | **Bangladesh:** 16% | **Bangladesh:** 98%  M: 98%  F: 98% |  |
| **Honduras:** 79%  (M: 81%, F: 78%) | **Honduras:** 80% | **Honduras:** 96%  M: 99%  F: 94% | Due to concerns that the baseline measurement may be unreliable, the target was not set much higher. |
| **Mali:** 31%  (M: 26%, F: 47%) | **Mali:** 55% | **Mali:** 99%  M: 99%  F: 99% |  |
| **Mozambique:** 54%  (M: 58%, F: 49%) | **Mozambique:** 79% | **Mozambique:** 86%  M: 90%  F: 82% |  |
| **Tanzania:** 88%  (M: 88%, F: 87%) | **Tanzania:** 89% | **Tanzania:** 89%  M: 92%  F: 87% | Due to concerns that the baseline measurement may be unreliable, the target was not set much higher. |
| **220** Increased literacy and numeracy skills among participants in managing small businesses and/or community governance | 1. Percentage of men and women participating in literacy instruction that pass the literacy exam at the end of the course | **Total:** N/A  Not measured until after literacy course | **Total:** 66% (1855) | **Total:** 100%\*\*  M: 95%\*\*  F: 91% |  |
| **Bangladesh** | **Bangladesh:** 60% (617)  M: 60% (125)  F: 60% (492) | **Bangladesh:** 100%  M: 99%  F: 100% | Bangladesh data include only data from one partner - Sathi. |
| **Honduras** | **Honduras:** 77% (193)  M: 83% (91)  F: 73% (102) | **Honduras:** 86%  M: 83%  F: 87% | Honduras includes data from two partners - Alfalit and Diaconia Nacional – only. |
| **Mozambique:** | **Mozambique:** 60% (472)  M: 60% (236)  F: 60% (236) | **Mozambique:** N/A | No final result is reported for Mozambique because literacy was only part of IRM-RDD’s project plan, and IRM-RDD left the project by the time that the mid-term evaluation was completed. There was no literacy component in the activities that World Renew’s remaining partner in Mozambique: Diocese of Niassa, conducted during the final two years of the Livelihoods project |
| **Tanzania** | **Tanzania:** 77% (573)  M: 82% (261)  F: 74% (312) | **Tanzania:** 100%\*\*  M: 100%\*\*  F: 90% | No responses were provided for this question in the final survey data for Tanzania, so the passage rate that Tanzania reported for September 2017 is provided here. |
| 2. Percentage of literacy participants (m/f) using basic literacy and numeracy skills for managing small enterprises and/or for community governance | **Total:** 49%  M: 52%  F: 52% | **Total:** 71% (1754)  M: 61% (651)  F: 72% (1103) | **Total:** 87%  M: 91%  F: 85% |  |
| **Bangladesh:** 15%  M: 28%  F: 9% | **Bangladesh:** 65% (194)  M: 68% (82)  F: 62% (112) | **Bangladesh:** 100%  M: 100%  F: 100% | Bangladesh data include data from one partner only – Sathi. |
| **Honduras:** 79%  M: 94%  F: 70% | **Honduras:** 81% (203)  M: 81% (89)  F: 81% (114) | **Honduras:** 76%  M: 77%  F: 75% | Honduras data include data from two partners - Alfalit and Diaconia Nacional - only |
| **Mali:** 52%  M: 43%  F: 72% | **Mali:** 80% (320)  M: 0  F: 80% (320) | **Mali:** 98%  M: 100%  F: 97% | Mali data include data from one partner only – ODES. |
| **Mozambique:** 19%  M: 15% F: 26% | **Mozambique:** 50% (394)  M: 50% (197)  F: 50% (197) | **Mozambique:** | No final result is reported for Mozambique because literacy was only part of IRM-RDD’s project plan, and IRM-RDD left the project by the time that the mid-term evaluation was completed. There was no literacy component in the activities that World Renew’s remaining partner in Mozambique: Diocese of Niassa, conducted during the final two years of the Livelihoods project. |
| **Tanzania:** 81%  M: 79%  F: 83% | **Tanzania:** 87% (643)  M: 63% (283)  F: 85% (360) | **Tanzania:** 61%  M: 73%  F: 56% | Given the strong stigma around being illiterate and fear of being mocked, enumerators noted that some respondents were reluctant to answer questions around literacy and its impacts. |
| 3. Percentage of participants (m/f) who agree that increased literacy and numeracy skills have improved how they manage their enterprise and/or participate in community governance | **Total:** 50%  M: 50%  F: 50% | **Total:** 61% (1837)  M: 55% (546)  F: 59% (1191) | **Total:** 86%  M: 89%  F: 84% |  |
| **Bangladesh:** 16%  M: 28%  F: 9% | **Bangladesh:** 33% (204)  M: 38% (47)  F: 32% (157) | **Bangladesh:** 100%  M: 100%  F: 100% | Bangladesh data are from one partner only – Sathi. |
| **Honduras:** 87%  M: 94%  F: 83% | **Honduras:** 88% (220)  M: 88% (97)  F: 88% (123) | **Honduras:** 82%  M: 81%  F: 82% | Honduras data are from two partners only - Alfalit and Diaconia Nacional. |
| **Mali:** 64%  M: 57%  F: 81% | **Mali:** 80% (320)  M: 0%  F: 80% (320) | **Mali:** 81%  M: 100%  F: 76% | Mali data include data from only one partner – ODES. |
| **Mozambique:** 24%  M: 15%  F: 40% | **Mozambique:** 50% (394)  M: 50% (197)  F: 50% (197) | **Mozambique:** N/A | No final result is reported for Mozambique because literacy was only part of IRM-RDD’s project plan, and IRM-RDD left the project by the time that the mid-term evaluation was completed. There was no literacy component in the activities that World Renew’s remaining partner in Mozambique: Diocese of Niassa, conducted during the final two years of the Livelihoods project. |
| **Tanzania:**87%  M: 82%  F: 90% | **Tanzania:** 74% (699)  M: 57% (205)  F: 68% (394) | **Tanzania:** 71%  M: 70%  F: 71% | Given the strong stigma around being illiterate and fear of being mocked, enumerators noted that some respondents were reluctant to answer questions around literacy and its impacts. |
| **310** Increased knowledge of participatory processes by community groups to set community development agendas | 1. Percentage of targeted communities where community leaders (or a community group) have done participatory needs assessment and planning | **Total:** 54%  93 out of 306 communities | **Total:** 87%  295 out of 341 communities | **Total:** 92%  293 out of 320 communities |  |
| **Bangladesh:** 16%  18 out of 113 communities | **Bangladesh:** 100%  143 out of 143 communities | **Bangladesh:** 100%  144 out of 143 communities\*\* |  |
| **Honduras:** 68%  39 out of 57 communities | **Honduras:** 91%  52 out of 57 communities | **Honduras:** 98%  52 out of 53 communities |  |
| **Mali:** 31%  17 out of 55 communities | **Mali:** 64%  35 out of 55 communities | **Mali:** 82%  60 out of 73 communities |  |
| **Mozambique:** 15%  9 out of 60 communities | **Mozambique:** 75%  45 out of 60 communities | **Mozambique:** 63%  15 out of 24 communities | One of the two local partners in Mozambique (IRM – RDD) left the program early, reducing the overall number of communities that the program was able to target. |
| **Tanzania:** 47%  10 out of 21 communities | **Tanzania:** 77%  20 out of 26 communities | **Tanzania:** 81%  22 out of 27 communities |  |
| 2. Number of target communities that are tracking and making progress on self-selected community capacity indicators | **Total:** 66%  380 out of 573 communities | **Total:** 92%  616 out of 668 communities | **Total:** 96%  631 out of 654 communities |  |
| **Bangladesh:** 82%  311 out of 380 communities | **Bangladesh:** 100%  470 out of 470 communities | **Bangladesh:** 99%  467 out of 470 communities | In Bangladesh, each self help group is counted as a “community” for the community capacity indicators. |
| **Honduras:** 63%  36 out of 57 communities | **Honduras:** 79%  45 out of 57 communities | **Honduras:** 96%  51 out of 53 communities |  |
| **Mali:** 31%  17 out of 55 communities | **Mali:** 69%  38 out of 55 communities | **Mali:** 80%  60 out of 75 communities |  |
| **Mozambique:** 12%  7 out of 60 communities | **Mozambique:** 72%  43 out of 60 communities | **Mozambique:** 100%  31 out of 31 communities |  |
| **Tanzania:** 48%  10 out of 21 communities | **Tanzania:** 77%  20 out of 26 communities | **Tanzania:** 81%  22 out of 27 communities |  |
| **320** Increased knowledge in the application of new community development and technical competencies by partner NGOs | 1. Number and type of new community development and technical competencies applied by partners | **Total:**  0 (since we are tracking new competencies gained during life of project) | **Total:** 66 | **Total:** 104 |  |
| **Bangladesh:** 9 | **Bangladesh:** 19 |  |
| **Honduras:** 23 | **Honduras:** 17 |  |
| **Mali:** 8 | **Mali:** 52 |  |
| **Mozambique:** 6 | **Mozambique:** 3 |  |
| **Tanzania:** 20 | **Tanzania:** 13 |  |
| 2. Percentage of partners (out of 12 total) that are demonstrating progress on their self-selected organizational capacity indicators | 100% - 12 partners | 100% - 12 partners | 100% - 11 partners | One of World Renew’s partnerships (with IRM-RDD in Mozambique) ended before the conclusion of the Livelihoods program. 11 local partners actively implemented the program from start to finish. |
| **Outputs**  **111** Farmers (m/f) trained/ mentored in sustainable agricultural methods | 1. Number of farmers (m/f) trained/mentored in sustainable agricultural methods since the project started | **Total:** 0 | **Total:** 11,517  M: 5708  F: 5809 | **Total:** 26,349  M: 13,100  F: 13,063 |  |
| **Bangladesh:** 0 | **Bangladesh:** 0  M: 0  F: 0 | **Bangladesh:** 4268  M: 1987  F: 2281 |  |
| **Honduras:** 0 | **Honduras:** 1075  M: 644  F: 431 | **Honduras:** 1176  M: 590  F: 586 |  |
| **Mali:** 0 | **Mali:** 1150  M: 975  F: 175 | **Mali:** 9364  M: 5194  F: 4170 |  |
| **Mozambique:** 0 | **Mozambique:** 3392  M: 1826  F: 1566 | **Mozambique:** 5227\*  M: 2503\*  F: 2538\* | Includes IRM-RDD data |
| **Tanzania:** 0 | **Tanzania:** 5900  M: 2263  F: 3637 | **Tanzania:** 6314  M: 2826  F: 3488 |  |
| 2. Number farmer-to-farmer learning exchanges conducted since the project started | **Total:** 0 | **Total:** 207 | **Total:** 359 |  |
| **Bangladesh:** 0 | **Bangladesh:** 0 | **Bangladesh:** 17 |  |
| **Honduras:** 0 | **Honduras:** 48 | **Honduras:** 55 |  |
| **Mali:** 0 | **Mali:** 8 | **Mali:** 39 |  |
| **Mozambique:** 0 | **Mozambique:** 113 | **Mozambique:** 215 |  |
| **Tanzania:** 0 | **Tanzania:** 38 | **Tanzania:** 33 |  |
| 3. Number of farmers (m/f) who participated in farmer-to-farmer learning exchanges since the project started | **Total:** 0 | **Total:** 2335  M: 1272  F: 1063 | **Total:** 6836  M: 3474  F: 3362 |  |
| **Bangladesh:** 0 | **Bangladesh:**  0  M: 0  F: 0 | **Bangladesh:** 265  M: 143  F: 122 |  |
| **Honduras:** 0 | **Honduras:** 595  M: 320  F: 275 | **Honduras:** 680  M: 284  F: 396 |  |
| **Mali:** 0 | **Mali:** 100  M: 80  F: 20 | **Mali:** 272  M: 207  F: 65 |  |
| **Mozambique:** 0 | **Mozambique:** 1120  M: 608  F: 512 | **Mozambique:** 4704  M: 2408  F: 2296 |  |
| **Tanzania:** 0 | **Tanzania:** 520  M: 264  F: 256 | **Tanzania:** 915  M: 432  F: 483 |  |
| **112** Community members encouraged and supported to install composting latrines and other compost production sites | 1. Number of composting latrines installed since the program started | **Total:** 0 | **Total:** 288 | **Total:** 148 |  |
| **Honduras:** 90 | **Honduras:** 86 |  |
| **Mali:** 25 | **Mali:** 36 |  |
| **Mozambique:** 173 | **Mozambique:** 26 |  |
| 2. Number of other (non-latrine) compost product sites established since the project started | **Total:** 0 | **Total:** 2394 | **Total:** 8390 |  |
| **Bangladesh:** 120 | **Bangladesh:** 812 |  |
| **Honduras:** 362 | **Honduras:** 463 |  |
| **Mali:** 600 | **Mali:** 2449 |  |
| **Mozambique:** 1312 | **Mozambique:** 4666 |  |
| **113** Experimental/demonstration installations operational (Farmer field schools) | 1. Number of operational experimental/demonstration installations (e.g farmer field schools) | **Total:** 6 | **Total:** 80 | **Total:** 368 |  |
| **Honduras:** 0 | **Honduras:** 0 | **Honduras:** No data |  |
| **Mali:** 0 | **Mali:** 2 | **Mali:** 7 |  |
| **Mozambique:** 0 | **Mozambique:** 40 | **Mozambique:** 280 |  |
| **Tanzania:** 6 | **Tanzania:** 38 | **Tanzania:** 81 |  |
| 2. Number of farmers (m/f) actively participating in experimental/ demonstra-tion installations (e.g. farmer field schools) | **Total:** 0 | **Total:** 1122  M: 573  F: 549 | **Total:** 7257  M: 3534  F: 3723 |  |
| **Mali:** 10  M: 5  F: 5 | **Mali:** 6  M: 6  F: 0 |  |
| **Mozambique:** 808  M: 436  F: 372 | **Mozambique:** 5175  M: 2552  F: 2623 |  |
| **Tanzania:** 304  M: 132  F: 172 | **Tanzania:** 2076  M: 976  F: 1100 |  |
| **114** Local specific, participatory research conducted with men and women farmers on new crop varieties and farming methods | 1. Number participatory research experiments conducted with farmers to test new farming techniques since the project started | **Total:** 0 | **Total:** 31 | **Total:** 208 |  |
| **Mali:** 2 | **Mali:** 7 |  |
| **Mozambique:** 5 | **Mozambique:** 186 |  |
| **Tanzania:** 24 | **Tanzania:** 15 |  |
| 2. Number of participatory research experiments conducted with farmers to test new crop varieties since the project started | **Total:** 0 | **Total:** 29 | **Total:** 200 |  |
| **Mali:** 0 | **Mali:** 0 |  |
| **Mozambique:** 5 | **Mozambique:** 186 |  |
| **Tanzania:** 24 | **Tanzania:** 14 |  |
| **115** Cereal security stocks and warrantage (loans) management system set up | 1. Number of cereal security stocks and warrantage (loans) management systems set up | **Mali:**  6 | **Mali:**  12 | **Mali:**  22 |  |
| 2. Number of households benefitting from warrantage | **Mali:**  0 | **Mali:**  200 | **Mali:**  743 |  |
| **116** Improved grain storage facilities installed | 1. Number of improved grain silos and other storage facilities constructed since the project started | **Total:** 0 | **Total:** 1394 | **Total:** 1450 |  |
| **Honduras:** 75 | **Honduras:** 180 |  |
| **Mozambique:** 1189 | **Mozambique:** 360 |  |
| **Tanzania:** 130 | **Tanzania:** 910 |  |
|  | 2. Number participant farmers (m/f) that have improved grain silos and other storage facilities | **Total:** 40  M: 35  F: 5 | **Total:** 1624  M: 905  F: 719 | **Total:** 1629  M: 788  F: 841 |  |
| **Honduras:** 40  M: 35  F: 5 | **Honduras:** 235  M: 174  F: 61 | **Honduras:** 304  M: 204  F: 100 |  |
| **Mozambique:** 0 | **Mozambique:** 1189  M: 614  F: 575 | **Mozambique:** 360  M: 192  F: 168 |  |
| **Tanzania:** 0 | **Tanzania:** 200  M:117  F: 83 | **Tanzania:** 965  M: 392  F: 573 |  |
| **117** Small scale water resources for agriculture (shallow wells, dams, and irrigation canals) are constructed and maintained | 1. Number of small scale water resources constructed since the program started | **Total:** 0 | **Total:** 55 | **Total:** 91 |  |
| **Mali:** 0 | **Mali:** 3 | **Mali:** 32 |  |
| **Mozambique:** 0 | **Mozambique:** 52 | **Mozambique:** 59\* | Includes IRM-RDD data |
| 2.Number of water resources being maintained by community members | **Total:** 0 | **Total:** 60 | **Total:** 194 |  |
| **Mali:** 0 | **Mali:** 8 canals | **Mali:** 137 |  |
| **Mozambique:** 0 | **Mozambique:** 52 | **Mozambique:** 57\* | Includes IRM-RDD data |
| **121** Disease resistant and drought tolerant crop varieties introduced | 1. Number disease and drought resistant crops introduced and distributed since the project started | **Total:** 0 | **Total:** 27 | **Total:** 64 |  |
| **Honduras:** 15 | **Honduras:** 27 |  |
| **Mozambique:** 9 | **Mozambique:** 16\* | Includes IRM-RDD data |
| **Tanzania:** 3  (same 3 for both partners) | **Tanzania:** 21 |  |
| 2. Number of farmers(m/f) who received disease and drought resistant crop since the project started | **Total:** 0 | **Total:** 4441  M: 2252  F: 2189 | **Total:** 8897  M: 4574  F: 4323 |  |
| **Honduras:** 691  M: 480  F: 211 | **Honduras:** 795  M: 421  F: 374 |  |
| **Mozambique:** 800  M: 400  F: 400 | **Mozambique:** 4742\*  M: 2468\*  F: 2274\* | Includes IRM-RDD data |
| **Tanzania:** 2950  M: 1372  F: 1578 | **Tanzania:** 3360  M: 1685  F: 1675 |  |
| 3. Number of farmers (m/f) who received disease and drought resistant tuber species since the project started | **Total:** 0 | **Total:** 3477  M: 1676  F: 1801 | **Total:** 6729  M: 3305  F: 3424 |  |
| **Honduras:** 165  M: 120  F: 45 | **Honduras:** 245  M: 99  F: 146 |  |
| **Mozambique:** 1837  M: 970  F: 867 | **Mozambique:** 4509  M: 2304  F: 2205 |  |
| **Tanzania:** 1475  M: 586  F:889 | **Tanzania:** 1975  M: 902  F: 1073 |  |
| **122** Men and women farmers trained/mentored on seed production, multiplication and storage methods | 1. Number of farmers (m/f) trained/mentored on seed production, multiplication and storage methods since the project started | **Total:** 0 | **Total:** 7070  M: 3397  F: 3673 | **Total:** 9107  M: 4530  F: 4577 |  |
| **Honduras:** 446  M: 335  F: 111 | **Honduras:** 495  M: 355  F: 140 |  |
| **Mali:** 100  M: 70  F: 30 | **Mali:** 1072  M: 423  F: 649 |  |
| **Mozambique:** 2744  M: 1469  F: 1,275 | **Mozambique:** 4542  M: 2334  F: 2208 |  |
| **Tanzania:** 3780  M: 1523  F:2257 | **Tanzania:** 2998  M: 1418  F: 1580 |  |
| 2. Number of farmers (m/f) that have seed production operations | **Total:** 17  M: 11  F: 6 | **Total:** 5457  M 2447  F: 3010 | **Total:** 7024  M: 3590  F: 3434 |  |
| **Honduras:** 0  M: 0  F: 0 | **Honduras:** 220  M: 166  F: 54 | **Honduras:** 260  M: 179  F: 81 |  |
| **Mali:** 2  M:2  F: 0 | **Mali:** 20  M: 18  F: 2 | **Mali:** 99  M: 33  F: 66 |  |
| **Mozambique:** 0  M: 0  F: 0 | **Mozambique:** 1837  M: 970  F: 867 | **Mozambique:** 4503  M: 2317  F: 2186 |  |
| **Tanzania:** 15  M: 9  F: 6 | **Tanzania:** 3380  M: 1293  F:2087 | **Tanzania:** 2162  M: 1061  F: 1101 |  |
| **131** Communities assisted to develop community level livestock management and herding plans | 1. Number of communities facilitated through the process of developing community level livestock management and herding plans | **Mali:** 0 | **Mali:** 4 | **Mali:** 5 |  |
| 2. Number of community level livestock and herding plans that are being implemented | **Mali:** 0 | **Mali:** 2 | **Mali:** 3 |  |
| **132** Livestock wells and other animal husbandry installations in place as identified in community plans | 1. Number of livestock wells constructed since the project started | **Mali:** 0 | **Mali:** 2 | **Mali:** 3 |  |
| 2. Number of animal husbandry installations constructed | **Mali:** 0 | **Mali:** 2 | **Mali:** 0 |  |
| **133** Participant farmers (m/f) trained/ mentored in animal husbandry, aquaculture and apiculture | 1. Number of farmers trained/mentored (m/f) in animal husbandry (raising of cattle, small livestock or poultry) since the project started | **Total:** 0 | **Total:** 5074  M: 2317  F: 2757 | **Total:** 5819  M: 2522  F: 3297 |  |
| **Bangladesh:** 400  M: 240  F: 160 | **Bangladesh:** 1024  M: 395  F: 629 |  |
| **Honduras:** 200  M: 50  F: 150 | **Honduras:** 246  M: 86  F: 160 |  |
| **Mali:** 274  M: 244  F: 30 | **Mali:** 830  M: 476  F: 354 |  |
| **Tanzania:** 4200  M: 1783  F: 2417 | **Tanzania:** 3719  M: 1565  F: 2154 |  |
| 2. Number of farmers trained/mentored (m/f) in aquaculture (fish ponds) since the project started | **Total:** 0 | **Total:** 3400  M: 1363  F: 2037 | **Total:** 1081  M: 539  F: 542 |  |
| **Bangladesh:** 200  M: 160  F: 40 | **Bangladesh:** 237  M: 100  F: 137 |  |
| **Tanzania:** 3200  M: 1203  F: 1997 | **Tanzania:** 844  M: 439  F: 405 |  |
| 3. Number of farmers trained/mentored (m/f) in beekeeping during the project | **Tanzania:** 0 | **Tanzania:**  All: 3240  M: 1223  F: 2017 | **Tanzania:**  All: 1656  M: 722  F: 934 |  |
| **141** Women and other marginalized groups are trained and supported in the process of accessing land and securing land use rights | 1. Number of individuals (m/f) supported in accessing or protecting access to/ownership of land during the project  (i.e. supported in applying for title to land) | **Total:** 0 | **Total:** 2196  M: 1103  F: 1093 | **Total:** 1745  M: 1063  F: 682 |  |
| **Mali:** 150  M: 100  F: 50 | **Mali:** 366  M: 203  F: 163 |  |
| **Mozambique:** 1296  M: 713  F: 583 | **Mozambique:** 0  M: 0  F: 0 |  |
| **Tanzania:** 750  M: 290  F: 460 | **Tanzania:** 1379  M: 860  F: 519 |  |
| 2. Number of meetings/forums in which community members are engaged in discussions on land management issues such as access to land, user rights and how to invest on their land since the project started | **Total:**  0 | **Total:** 236 | **Total:** 42 |  |
| **Mali:** 15 | **Mali:** 12 |  |
| **Mozambique:** 204 | **Mozambique:** 5 |  |
| **Tanzania:** 17 | **Tanzania:** 25 |  |
| **142** Local government land management institutions are trained and supported in the development of land information management/registration systems | 1. Number of local government institutions supported in the develop-ment of land registration systems | **Tanzania:** 0 | **Tanzania:** 12 | **Tanzania:** 19 |  |
| 2. Number of examples of land rights issues being addressed by local govern-ment (since the project started) | **Tanzania:** 0 | **Tanzania:** 17 | **Tanzania:** 12 |  |
| **211** Saving and lending groups formed and strengthened | 1. Number of functional saving and lending groups | **Total:** 482 | **Total:** 788 | **Total:** 899 |  |
| **Bangladesh:** 289 | **Bangladesh:** 349 | **Bangladesh:** 359 |  |
| **Honduras:** 32 | **Honduras:** 57 | **Honduras:** 56 |  |
| **Mali:** 11 | **Mali:** 33 | **Mali:** 45 |  |
| **Mozambique:** 7 | **Mozambique:** 12 | **Mozambique:** 101 |  |
| **Tanzania:** 150 | **Tanzania:** 337 | **Tanzania:** 338 |  |
| 2. Number of participants (m/f) participating in saving-lending groups | **Total:** 8849  M: 2851  F: 5998 | **Total:** 12,049  M: 4146  F: 7903 | **Total:** 17,499  M: 5663  F: 11,836 |  |
| **Bangladesh:** 5054  M: 1438  F: 3616 | **Bangladesh:** 5954  M:1798  F: 4156 | **Bangladesh:** 6116  M: 1654  F: 4462 |  |
| **Honduras:** 403  M: 171  F: 232 | **Honduras:** 977  M: 390  F: 587 | **Honduras:** 1196  M: 494  F: 702 |  |
| **Mali:** 234  M: 0  F: 234 | **Mali:** 550  M: 25  F: 525 | **Mali:** 870  M: 25  F: 845 |  |
| **Mozambique:** 406  M: 78  F: 328 | **Mozambique:**  545  M: 100  F: 445 | **Mozambique:**  2518  M: 964  F: 1554 |  |
| **Tanzania:** 2752  M: 1164  F: 1588 | **Tanzania:** 4023  M: 1833  F: 2190 | **Tanzania:** 6799  M: 2526  F: 4273 |  |
| 3. Number of saving-lending groups who received technical support (since project started) | **Total:** 0 | **Total:** 777 | **Total:** 901\* | Includes 5 groups that received technical support from IRM-RDD. |
| **Bangladesh:** 349 | **Bangladesh:** 359 |  |
| **Honduras:** 57 | **Honduras:** 56 |  |
| **Mali:** 22 | **Mali:** 45 |  |
| **Mozambique:** 12 | **Mozambique:** 106\* | Includes 5 groups that received technical support from IRM-RDD. |
| **Tanzania:** 337 | **Tanzania:** 322 |  |
| **212** Saving-lending groups are linked to financial and government institutions (formal sector) | 1. Number of saving and lending groups linked to financial institutions out of total number of groups established | **Total:** 13%  67 out of 506 communities | **Total:** 39%  303 out of 776 | **Total:** 30%  272 out of 904 |  |
| **Bangladesh:** 13%  37 groups out of 289 groups | **Bangladesh:** 30%  104 out of 349 | **Bangladesh:** 43%  154 out of 359 |  |
| **Honduras:**  0 out of 32 | **Honduras:** 25%  23 out of 57 | **Honduras:** 38%  21 out of 56 |  |
| **Mali:** 0%  0 out of 11 communities | **Mali:** 67%  14 out of 21 | **Mali:** 16%  7 out of 45 |  |
| **Mozambique:** 43%  3 of 7 communities | **Mozambique:** 42%  5 of 12 | **Mozambique:** 0%  0 out of 106 |  |
| **Tanzania:** 16%  27 out of 167 communities | **Tanzania:** 47%  157 out of 337 | **Tanzania:** 27%  90 out of 338 |  |
| 2. Number of saving and lending groups linked to government institutions out of total number of groups established | **Total:** 26%  174 out of 676 communities | **Total:** 69%  534 out of 776 | **Total:** 53%  476 out of 904 |  |
| **Bangladesh:** 18%  53 groups out of 289 groups | **Bangladesh:** 71%  247 out of 349 | **Bangladesh:** 70%  251 out of 359 |  |
| **Honduras:**  0 out of 32 | **Honduras:** 40%  23 out of 57 | **Honduras:** 36%  20 out of 56 |  |
| **Mali:** 0%  0 out of11 communities | **Mali:** 53%  11 out of 21 | **Mali:** 0%  0 out of 45 |  |
| **Mozambique:** 43%  3 of 7 communities | **Mozambique:** 42%  5 of 12 | **Mozambique:** 0%  0 out of 106 |  |
| **Tanzania:** 35%  118 out of 337 | **Tanzania:** 74%  248 out of 337 | **Tanzania:** 61%  205 out of 338 |  |
| **213** Participants have engaged in regular savings and have accessed credit | 1. Number of participants (m/f) engaged in regular savings | **Total:** 8968  M: 2815  F: 6153 | **Total:** 12,408  M: 4159  F: 8249 | **Total:** 18,210  M: 5845  F: 12365 |  |
| **Bangladesh:** 5054  M: 1438  F:3616 | **Bangladesh:** 5954  M:1798  F: 4156 | **Bangladesh:** 6116  M: 1654  F: 4462 |  |
| **Honduras:** 522  M: 135  F: 387 | **Honduras:** 1336  M: 403  F: 933 | **Honduras:** 1432  M: 486  F: 946 |  |
| **Mali:** 234  M: 0  F: 234 | **Mali:** 550  M: 25  F: 525 | **Mali:** 870  M: 25  F: 845 |  |
| **Mozambique:** 406  M: 78  F: 328 | **Mozambique:** 545  M: 100  F: 445 | **Mozambique:** 2607\*  M: 964\*  F: 1643\* | Includes IRM-RDD data |
| **Tanzania:** 2752  M: 1164  F: 1588 | **Tanzania:** 4023  M: 1833  F: 2190 | **Tanzania:** 7185  M: 2716  F: 4469 |  |
| 2. Number of participants (m/f) that have received a loan for investing in a small enterprise or income generating activity since the start of the project | **Total:** 0 | **Total:** 10,490  M: 3651  F: 6939 | **Total:** 13,926  M: 4079  F: 9847 |  |
| **Bangladesh:** 5228  M: 1654  F: 3574 | **Bangladesh:** 5231  M: 1446  F: 3785 |  |
| **Honduras:** 1025  M: 270  F: 755 | **Honduras:** 1066  M: 331  F: 735 |  |
| **Mali:** 366  M: 25  F: 341 | **Mali:** 870  M: 25  F: 845 |  |
| **Mozambique:** 545  M: 100  F: 445 | **Mozambique:** 379  M: 130  F: 249 |  |
| **Tanzania:** 3326  M: 1602  F: 1724 | **Tanzania:** 6380  M: 2147  F: 4233 |  |
| **214** Community mapping of value chains and local/regional marketing strategies facilitated | 1. Number of participants(m/f) that have participated in value chain mapping and/or marketing strategy sessions | **Total:** 0 | **Total:** 300  M: 60  F: 240 | **Total:** 211  M: 54  F: 157 |  |
| **Bangladesh:** 150  M:60  F:90 | **Bangladesh:** 184  M: 52  F: 132 |  |
| **Honduras:** 150  M: 0  F: 150 | **Honduras:** 27  M: 2  F: 25 |  |
| 2. Number of marketing strategies developed | **Total:** 0 | **Total:** 275 | **Total:** 313 |  |
| **Bangladesh:** 150 | **Bangladesh:** 287 |  |
| **Honduras:** 125 | **Honduras:** 26 |  |
| 3. Number of participants (m/f) trained/ mentored on value added processing of agricultural products since the project started | **Total:** 0 | **Total:** 275  M: 100  F: 175 | **Total:** 165  M: 54  F: 111 |  |
| **Bangladesh:** 150  M: 60  F: 90 | **Bangladesh:** 151  M: 54  F: 97 |  |
| **Honduras:** 125  M: 40  F: 85 | **Honduras:** 14  M: 0  F: 14 |  |
| **215** Participants trained/mentored on business planning and management | 1. Number of participants (m/f) trained/mentored on business planning since the start of the project | **Total:** 0 | **Total:** 6901  M: 3016  F: 3885 | **Total:** 10,313  M: 4433  F: 5880 |  |
| **Bangladesh:** 300  M: 120  F: 180 | **Bangladesh:** 337  M: 63  F: 274 |  |
| **Honduras:** 400  M: 100  F: 300 | **Honduras:** 560  M: 173  F: 387 |  |
| **Mozambique:** 1841  M: 813  F: 1,028 | **Mozambique:** 4239  M: 2215  F: 2024 |  |
| **Tanzania:** 4360  M: 1983  F: 2377 | **Tanzania:** 5177  M: 1982  F: 3195 |  |
| 2. Number of participants (m/f) trained/mentored on financial record keeping/accounting since the start of the project | **Total:** 0 | **Total:** 7026  M: 3016  F: 4016 | **Total:** 10,238  M: 4419  F: 5819 |  |
| **Bangladesh:** 300  M: 120  F: 180 | **Bangladesh:** 305  M: 122  F: 183 |  |
| **Honduras:** 525  M: 100  F: 425 | **Honduras:** 614  M: 176  F: 438 |  |
| **Mozambique:** 1841  M: 813  F: 1028 | **Mozambique:** 4239  M: 2215  F: 2024 |  |
| **Tanzania:** 4360  M: 1983  F: 2377 | **Tanzania:** 5080  M: 1906  F: 3174 |  |
| **221** Community members (m/f) trained/mentored as literacy instructors | 1. Number of community (m/f) members who are trained/mentored as literacy instructors | **Total:** 30  M: 26  F: 4 | **Total:** 152  M: 49  F: 103 | **Total:** 180  M: 64  F: 116 |  |
| **Bangladesh:** 0 | **Bangladesh:** 79  M: 16  F: 63 | **Bangladesh:** 73  M: 18  F: 55 |  |
| **Honduras:** 0 | **Honduras:** 29  M: 11  F: 18 | **Honduras:** 72  M: 26  F: 46 |  |
| **Mozambique:** 25  M: 23  F: 2 | **Mozambique:** 30  M: 23  F: 7 | No results | No final result is reported for Mozambique because literacy was only part of IRM-RDD’s project plan, and IRM-RDD left the project by the time that the mid-term evaluation was completed. There was no literacy component in the activities that World Renew’s remaining partner in Mozambique: Diocese of Niassa, conducted during the final two years of the Livelihoods project |
| **Tanzania:** 5  M: 3  F: 2 | **Tanzania:** 44  M: 22  F: 22 | **Tanzania:** 35  M: 20  F: 15 |  |
| 2. Number of communities that have trained literacy instructors | **Total:** 39%  55 out of 140 communities | **Total:** 94%  120 out of 128 | **Total:** 78%  132 out of 169 | World Renew partners who operated literacy training activities as part of the Sustainable Livelihoods program:  Sathi, AICT/Geita, AICT/MUD, ODES, Alfalit and Diaconia Nacional. |
| **Bangladesh:** 50%  44 out of 88 | **Bangladesh:** 100%  88 out of 88 | **Bangladesh:** 100%  93 out of 93 | Instead of communities, Bangladesh focused on Literacy Sub-committees of the People’s Institution (PI). This number represents the percentage of trained PI Literacy Sub-committee leaders that are actively playing a role in implementation of literacy classes. |
| **Honduras:** 36%  5 out of 14 | **Honduras:** 100%  14 out of 14 | **Honduras:** 63%  19 out of 30 |  |
| **Mozambique:** 0%  0 out of 12 communities | **Mozambique:** 75%  9 out of 12 communities | No results | No final result is reported for Mozambique because literacy was only part of IRM-RDD’s project plan, and IRM-RDD left the project by the time that the mid-term evaluation was completed. There was no literacy component in the activities that World Renew’s remaining partner in Mozambique: Diocese of Niassa, conducted during the final two years of the Livelihoods project. |
| **Tanzania:** 19%  6 out of 26 communities | **Tanzania:** 69%  18 out of 26 | **Tanzania:** 74%  20 out of 27 |  |
| **222** Individuals (m/f) receive training in literacy and vocational skills needed to successfully manage enterprises | 1.Number of participants (m/f) who received training in functional literacy skills | **Total:** 100  M: 52 F: 48 | **Total:** 2795  M: 1027  F: 1768 | **Total:** 4854  M: 1759  F: 3095 |  |
| **Bangladesh:** 0  M: 0  F: 0 | **Bangladesh:** 1027  M: 208  F: 819 | **Bangladesh:** 1135  M: 281  F: 854 |  |
| **Honduras:** 20  M: 9  F: 11 | **Honduras:** 220  M: 95  F: 125 | **Honduras:** 359  M: 172  F: 187 |  |
| **Mali:** 8  M: 6  F: 2 | **Mali:** 20  M: 12  F: 8 | **Mali:** 619  M: 128  F: 491 |  |
| **Mozambique:** 0  M: 0  F: 0 | **Mozambique:** 788  M: 394  F: 394 | **Mozambique:** 1058\*  M: 382\*  F: 676\* | Includes IRM-RDD data |
| **Tanzania:** 72  M: 37  F: 35 | **Tanzania:** 740  M: 318  F: 422 | **Tanzania:** 1683  M: 796  F: 887 |  |
| 2. Number of participants (m/f) who received instruction in vocational skills (e.g. carpentry, tailoring) | **Total:** 59  M: 28  F: 31 | **Total:** 1230  M: 443  F: 787 | **Total:** 1287  M: 397  F: 890 |  |
| **Bangladesh:** 0 | **Bangladesh:** 200  M: 80  F:120 | **Bangladesh:** 257  M: 4  F: 253 |  |
| **Honduras:** 0  M: 0  F: 0 | **Honduras:** 340  M: 66  F: 274 | **Honduras:** 359  M: 63  F: 296 |  |
| **Mozambique:** 41  M: 18  F: 23 | **Mozambique:** 50  M: 25  F: 25 | **Mozambique:** 65\*  M: 18\*  F: 47\* | Mozambique result includes data from IRM-RDD. IRM-RDD ceased to be an active partner in the project after year 2. |
| **Tanzania:** 18  M: 10  F: 8 | **Tanzania:** 640  M: 272  F: 368 | **Tanzania:** 606  M: 283  F: 323 |  |
| **311** Community leaders trained and supported in the community governance (including participatory needs assessment and planning, local resource mobilization, and networking with government and civil society) | 1. Number of community leaders (m/f) trained and supported in doing participatory needs assessment and planning | **Total:** 1328  M: 742  F: 586 | **Total:** 2774  M: 1507  F: 1267 | **Total:** 6006  M: 2844  F: 3162 |  |
| **Bangladesh:** 305  M:160  F: 145 | **Bangladesh:** 465  M: 226  F: 239 | **Bangladesh:** 1544  M: 692  F: 852 |  |
| **Honduras:** 591  M: 292  F: 299 | **Honduras:** 1168  M: 581  F: 587 | **Honduras:** 1465  M: 653  F: 812 |  |
| **Mali:** 53  M: 32  F: 21 | **Mali:** 195  M: 113  F: 82 | **Mali:** 1384  M: 469  F: 915 |  |
| **Mozambique:** 59  M: 39  F: 20 | **Mozambique:** 326  M: 163  F: 163 | **Mozambique:** 268  M: 206  F: 62 |  |
| **Tanzania:** 320  M: 219  F: 101 | **Tanzania:** 620  M: 424  F: 196 | **Tanzania:** 1345  M: 824  F: 521 |  |
| 2. Number of community leaders (m/f) supported in networking with government and civil society | **Total:** 716  M: 440  F: 276 | **Total:** 1834  M: 1089  F: 745 | **Total:** 2705  M: 1563  F: 1142 |  |
| **Bangladesh:** 210  M: 120  F: 90 | **Bangladesh:** 370  M: 186  F: 184 | **Bangladesh:** 691  M: 340  F: 351 |  |
| **Honduras:** 224  M: 129  F: 95 | **Honduras:** 578  M: 334  F: 244 | **Honduras:** 720  M: 384  F: 336 |  |
| **Mali:** 2  M: 2  F: 0 | **Mali:** 36  M: 30  F: 6 | **Mali:** 130  M: 92  F: 38 |  |
| **Mozambique:** 0  M: 0  F: 0 | **Mozambique:** 230  M: 115  F: 115 | No data | No final result is reported for Mozambique for this indicator because the indicator was only tracked by the partner (IRM-RDD) that left the Sustainable Livelihoods project after year 2. The partner that supported World Renew’s implementation of the Mozambique project throughout the full five year duration of the Sustainable Livelihoods program (Diocese of Niassa) did not track this indicator. |
| **Tanzania:** 280  M: 189  F: 91 | **Tanzania:** 620  M: 424  F: 196 | **Tanzania:** 1164  M: 747  F: 417 |  |
| **312** Women trained and supported to serve in community leadership positions | 1. Number of women trained and supported to serve in community leadership positions | **Total:** 822 | **Total:** 1852 | **Total:** 4438 |  |
| **Bangladesh:** 302 | **Bangladesh:** 586 | **Bangladesh:** 2438 |  |
| **Honduras:** 386 | **Honduras:** 745 | **Honduras:** 835 |  |
| **Mali:** 19 | **Mali:** 60 | **Mali:** 386 |  |
| **Mozambique:** 0 | **Mozambique:** 163 | **Mozambique:** 223 |  |
| **Tanzania:** 115 | **Tanzania:** 298 | **Tanzania:** 556 |  |
| 2. Number of women and men trained on gender or taken through a facilitated discussion on gender issues | **Total:** 6236  M: 2718  F: 3518 | **Total:** 18,011  M: 7052  F: 10956 | **Total:** 22,393  M: 8982  F: 13,411 |  |
| **Bangladesh:** 2541  M:1142  F: 1399 | **Bangladesh:** 8517  M:3068  F: 5446 | **Bangladesh:** 10,133  M: 3442  F: 6691 |  |
| **Honduras:** 568  M: 271  F: 297 | **Honduras:** 1285  M: 516  F: 769 | **Honduras:** 1431  M: 544  F: 887 |  |
| **Mali:** 716  M: 416  F: 300 | **Mali:** 1610  M: 910  F: 700 | **Mali:** 3312  M: 1435  F: 1877 |  |
| **Mozambique:** 0  M: 0  F: 0 | **Mozambique:** 1409  M: 575  F: 834 | **Mozambique:** 3709  M: 1982  F: 1727 |  |
| **Tanzania:** 2411  M: 889  F: 1522 | **Tanzania:** 5190  M: 1983  F: 3207 | **Tanzania:** 3808  M: 1579  F: 2229 |  |
| **321** Financial support provided to partners by World Renew | 1. Number of partners provided with financial support during the life of the project | **Total:** 0 | **Total:** 12 | **Total:** 11 | World Renew’s partnership with IRM-RDD ended in year two of the program, reducing the total number of partners in the program from 12 to 11. |
| 2. Amount of financial support provided to partners during the life of the project | **Total: $**0 | **Total:** $2,984,431 | **Total:** $3,300,523 |  |
| **Bangladesh:** $654,691 | **Bangladesh:** $708,050 |  |
| **Honduras:** $962,048 | **Honduras:** $890,953 |  |
| **Mali:** $579,200 | **Mali:** $707,027 |  |
| **Mozambique**: $551,741 | **Mozambique**: $541,224 |  |
| **Tanzania:** $236,751 | **Tanzania:** $453,269 |  |
| **322** NGO partners have received technical support from World Renew as agreed in partnership agreements | 1. Number of partners provided with technical support as agreed in partnership agreements (during the life of the project) | **Total:** 0 | **Total:**  12 | **Total:**  12 |  |
| 2. Number of trainings on technical issues | **Total:** 0 | **Total:** 113 | **Total:** 235 |  |
| **Bangladesh:** 10 | **Bangladesh:** 37 |  |
| **Honduras:** 40 | **Honduras:** 57 |  |
| **Mali:** 8 | **Mali:** 24 |  |
| **Mozambique:** 35 | **Mozambique:** 50\* | Includes trainings provided to IRM-RDD |
| **Tanzania:** 20 | **Tanzania:** 67 |  |
| 3. Number of visits conducted by World Renew | **Total:** 0 | **Total:** 255 | **Total:** 410 |  |
| **Bangladesh:** 40 | **Bangladesh:** 56 |  |
| **Honduras:** 90 | **Honduras:** 126 |  |
| **Mali:** 20 | **Mali:** 26 |  |
| **Mozambique:** 65 | **Mozambique:** 114 |  |
| **Tanzania:** 40 | **Tanzania:** 88 |  |
| **323** Relationships established between NGO partners and local agricultural research institutions, MOA extension service providers, and micro-finance institutions | 1. Number of relationships and collaborations established between World Renew partners and local agricultural research institutions, MOA extension service providers, and micro-finance institutions | **Total:** 10 | **Total:** 36 | **Total:** 68 |  |
| **Honduras:** 6 | **Honduras:** 15 | **Honduras:** 27 |  |
| **Mozambique:** 0 | **Mozambique:** 10 | **Mozambique:** 17\* | Includes collaborations that were established by the local partner (IRM-RDD) who left the Sustainable Livelihoods program after year two |
| **Tanzania:** 4 | **Tanzania:** 11 | **Tanzania:** 24 |  |
| 2. Number of linkages/collaborations established between community groups and local agricultural research institutions, MOA extension service providers, and MFIs | **Total:** 11 | **Total:** 132 | **Total:** 52 |  |
| **Honduras:** 3 | **Honduras:** 10 | **Honduras:** 21 |  |
| **Mozambique:** 0 | **Mozambique:** 17 | **Mozambique:** 2 |  |
| **Tanzania:** 8 | **Tanzania:** 105 | **Tanzania:** 29 |  |
| **324** World Renew and NGO partners have managed key environ-mental mitigation and enhancement impacts using the Environ-mental Management Plans (EMPs). | 1. Number of World Renew field offices (out of five total) that are monitoring and reporting on the effective implementation of their EMPs. | **Total:** 0 | **Total:** 5  Bangladesh, Honduras, Mali, Mozambique, and Tanzania | **Total:** 4 out of 5 |  |
| 2. Number of partners (out of 13 total) that are monitoring and reporting on the implementation of their EMPs. | **Total:** 0 | **Total:** 13 (100%)  Bangladesh: Pari, Sathi  Honduras: Alfalit, Diaconia Nacional, Harvest, MCM  Mali: AEDM, ODES, Kurmari  Mozambique: Diocese of Niassa, IRM-RDD  Tanzania: AICT Geita, AICT MUD | **Total:** 12 (92%)  Bangladesh: Pari, Sathi  Honduras: Alfalit, Diaconia Nacional, Harvest, MCM  Mali: AEDM, ODES  Mozambique: Diocese of Niassa  Tanzania: AICT Geita, AICT MUD | IRM-RDD is no longer a partner in this project. |
| Actual number of participants | 1. Actual number of farmer participants | **Total:** 8836  M: 4695  F: 4141 | **Total:** 14,375  M: 7533  F: 6842 | **Total:** 27,704  M: 13,574  F: 14,130 |  |
| **Bangladesh:** 1963  M: 970  F: 993 | **Bangladesh:** 2563  M: 1270  F: 1293 | **Bangladesh:** 4161  M: 1930  F: 2231 |  |
| **Honduras:** 208  M: 137 F: 71 | **Honduras:** 1270  M: 734 F: 536 | **Honduras:** 1477  M: 485  F: 692 |  |
| **Mali:** 777  M: 717 F: 60 | **Mali:** 1950  M: 1300 F: 650 | **Mali:** 9960  M: 5536  F: 4424 |  |
| **Mozambique:** 2,874  M: 1,590 F: 1,284 | **Mozambique:** 3392  M: 1826 F: 1566 | **Mozambique:** 5227\*  M: 2689\*  F: 2538\* | Includes participants from IRM-RDD |
| **Tanzania:** 3014  M: 1281  F: 1733 | **Tanzania:** 5200  M: 2403  F: 2797 | **Tanzania:** 6879  M: 2634  F: 4245 |  |
| 2. Actual number of literacy participants | **Total:** 584  M: 238  F: 346 | **Total:** 3205  M: 1030  F: 2175 | **Total:** 4329  M: 1496  F: 2833 |  |
| **Bangladesh:** 0 | **Bangladesh:** 1027  M: 208  F: 819 | **Bangladesh:** 1135  M: 281  F: 854 |  |
| **Honduras:** 82  M: 40 F: 42 | **Honduras:** 250  M: 110 F: 140 | **Honduras:** 423  M: 195  F: 228 |  |
| **Mali:** 0  M: 0 F: 0 | **Mali:** 400  M: 0 F: 400 | **Mali:** 619  M: 128  F: 491 |  |
| **Mozambique:** 430  M: 161 F: 269 | **Mozambique:** 788  M: 394 F: 394 | **Mozambique:** 1084\*  M: 405\*  F: 679\* | Includes participants from IRM-RDD |
| **Tanzania:** 72  M: 37  F: 35 | **Tanzania:** 740  M: 318  F: 422 | **Tanzania:** 1068  M: 487  F: 581 |  |
| 3. Actual number of VSL/IGA participants | **Total:** 4696  M: 1970  F: 2850 | **Total:** 13,241  M: 4631  F: 8610 | **Total:** 18,797  M: 6174  F: 12,623 |  |
| **Bangladesh:** 0 | **Bangladesh:** 5954  M: 1798  F:4156 | **Bangladesh:** 6116  M: 1654  F: 4462 |  |
| **Honduras:** 1042  M: 428 F: 614 | **Honduras:** 1832  M: 725 F: 1107 | **Honduras:** 2081  M: 846  F: 1235 |  |
| **Mali:** 234  M: 0 F: 234 | **Mali:** 550  M: 25 F: 525 | **Mali:** 870  M: 25  F: 845 |  |
| **Mozambique:** 406  M: 161 F: 269 | **Mozambique:** 545  M: 100 F: 445 | **Mozambique:** 2518  M: 964  F: 1554 |  |
| **Tanzania:** 3014  M 1381  F: 1733 | **Tanzania:** 4360  M: 1983  F: 2377 | **Tanzania:** 7212  M: 2685  F: 4527 |  |
| 4. Number of participants & communities targeted by the program | **Total:**  Total Communities : 230  Total Participants: 16,517  M: 6817  F: 9700 | **Total:**  Communities: 315  Participants: 24994  M: 10545  F: 14449 | **Total:**  Communities: 416  Participants: 41,561  M: 18,983  F: 22,578 |  |
| **Bangladesh:**  Total Communities : 112  Total Participants: 7017  M:2408 F: 4609 | **Bangladesh:**  Communities: 119  Participants: 8517  M: 3068 F: 5449 | **Bangladesh:**  Communities: 156  Participants: 10,833  M: 3752  F: 7081 |  |
| **Honduras:**  Total Communities : 39  Total Participants: 1332  M: 605 F: 727 | **Honduras:**  Communities: 57  Participants: 3352  M: 1569 F: 1783 | **Honduras:**  Communities: 53  Participants: 3968  M: 1822  F: 2146 |  |
| **Mali:**  Total Communities: 24  Total Participants: 1011  M: 717 F: 294 | **Mali:**  Communities: 53  Participants: 2500  M: 1325 F: 1175 | **Mali:**  Communities: 75  Participants: 12,487  M: 6918  F: 5569 | The number of male participants is lower than the sum of the farmer, literacy, and VSL participants due to difficulty tracking male participants over the life of the project. |
| **Mozambique:**  Total Communities: 41  Total Participants: 3710  M: 1829  F: 1881 | **Mozambique:**  Communities : 60  Participants: 4,725  M: 2320 F: 2405 | **Mozambique:**  Communities: 105\*  Participants: 6778\*  M: 3338\*  F: 3440\* | Includes participants from communities that were part of the activities with the partner, IRM-RDD, who left the Livelihoods program after year two |
| **Tanzania:**  Total Communities: 14  Total Participants: 3447  M: 1258 F: 2189 | **Tanzania:**  Communities: 26  Participants: 5,200  M: 2403  F: 2797 | **Tanzania:**  Communities: 27  Participants: 7495  M: 3173  F: 4342 |  |

\* Denotes indicators where totals include values rolled over from a previous partner in Mozambique, IRM-RDD

\*\* Denotes indicators for which numerators were reported as being higher than denominator (exceeding 100%) because the target was used as the denominator. These cases were listed in this PMF as 100%.